## **Economics Final Project Rubric**

|                              | Exceptional  | Outstanding   | Average  | Below Average  |  |  |
|------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Notes Portion (20% of score) |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Chart and Notes              | Student has submitted chart that is filled out completely and additional notes relevant to the economy of both selected countries.                                   | Student has submitted chart that is filled out completely but did not complete additional research relevant to both countries.  | Student has made an attempt to fill out the chart and include research, but some sections are missing or don't have relevant information.  | Less than 50% of<br>the chart is filled<br>out, or student did<br>not include chart<br>and notes in<br>submission.   |  |  |
| Essay Portion (50% of score) |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Formatting and<br>Style      | Essay is properly formatted in MLA style and is clearly organized into paragraphs with an introduction, thesis statement, body paragraphs, and conclusion            | Essay is formatted well with an introduction, body paragraphs and conclusion. Thesis statement or paragraph structure needs some minimal improvement.                     | Essay is mostly formatted in the MLA format but has some errors. The introduction may be unclear or lack a thesis statement. Paragraph structure could use improvement.                          | Essay has several formatting errors. Paragraph structure is lacking, and thesis is unclear or not present.   |  |  |
| Writing and conventions      | Paper is clearly written, flows well from one paragraph to the next, and follows proper grammar and writing conventions  | Paper is well written, has mostly smooth transitions between paragraphs, but may have some minor grammatical or written errors.   | Paper is written well enough to follow and understand but may contain several grammatical or written errors.   | Paper is not well written. It may be difficult to understand or follow and may contain numerous grammatical or written errors.   |  |  |
| Content and Data             | Paper contains excellent economic information about both nations. It utilizes specific terms, data, and arguments to explain the differences between both economies. | Paper contains good economic information about both nations. It utilizes some data and terms and has fairly clear arguments about the differences between both economies. | Paper contains some economic information about both nations. It attempts to use data and terms but may have errors or need further elaboration.  Arguments are present but may need improvement. | Paper does not convincingly explain the differences between the two countries' economies. Data may be limited or not present and arguments are unclear or not present. |  |  |
| Conclusion                   | The paper has a clear conclusion explaining which economic system is better. The author's criteria is evident and well explained.                                    | The paper has a good conclusion explaining which economic system is better. The author's criteria is included   | The paper has a decent conclusion explaining which economic system is better. The author's criteria for making this decision is  | The paper is lacking a conclusion or does not convincly explain which economic system is better. No criteria   |  |  |

|                                     |   | but may need some   | unclear or not   | for making the  |  |  |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|--|
|                                     |   | polishing.  | elaborated.  | decision is present.  |  |  |
| Citations                           | The paper contains a works cited page with all sources formatted according to MLA standards.                    | The paper contains a works cited page with sources fairly well formatted. Some errors may be present. | An attempt was made to format sources on a works cited page with several errors.                   | No attempt was made to cite sources or to format them using MLA standards.                                      |  |  |
| Presentation Portion (30% of score) |   |   |  |   |  |  |
| Clarity                             | Findings are clearly presented, audible to the audience, and well explained.                                    | Findings are mostly clear, presented well, audible, and have a good explanation.                      | Findings are somewhat clear but presentation may be difficult to hear or understand in parts.      | Findings are unclear or not presented to the class. Significant problems hearing or understanding presentation. |  |  |
| Creativity                          | Student creatively designed their presentation and utilized various aspects of virtual reality and IFX objects. | Student presentation was mostly creative and used some aspects virtual reality or IFX objects.        | Student<br>presentation was<br>lacking creativity<br>and only had<br>limited use of VR<br>objects. | Student presentation lacked creativity and did not attempt to utilize any aspects of VR.                        |  |  |
| Graphics and<br>Information         | Student included a large number of graphics and data to explain the economic differences to the class.          | Student utilized a good number of graphics and data to explain the economic differences to the class. | Student utilized some graphics and data to explain the economic differences to the class.          | Student had limited graphics and data or did not attempt to use them in their presentation to the class.        |  |  |